

CLERK: Madam President, the next amendment I have...actually, may I read some items before?

SENATOR CROSBY: Mr. Clerk, do you have items for the record?

CLERK: Yes, ma'am, thank you. Your committee on, Urban Affairs Committee reports LB 1007 to General File; 962, General File with amendments; 979, General File with amendments. And Revenue Committee reports 1082 to General File; and LB 1063, indefinitely postponed. Hearing notices from the Education Committee, Appropriations Committee, and Health and Human Services. (See pages 542-44 of the Legislative Journal.) Senator Lindsay would move to amend, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Lindsay, to open on your amendment.

CLERK: Senator, it's strike Section 25. (See AM2844 on pages 544-45 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President. Members, this amendment is, as the Clerk mentioned, would strike Section 25. Section 25 is a section which requires the reporting and with the subject a little bit of debate last week on Senator Witek's amendment, which would have added some additional reporting requirements. Section 25, the primary thrust of it, from best I can tell, is simply to report back the number of licenses which have been suspended or revoked, which have been revoked. And the question is why, what's the thrust of, what is the thrust of this bill? And apparently this, this indicates that the thrust of the bill, the important aspect of the bill is the suspension or the revocation of licenses, because that's the only thing we're requiring be reported back to the Legislature is the number of licenses that were revoked. It does not ask for how much child support has been increased. It does not ask for the cost that it's taken to administer this program. The only thing we're interested in, in this, is how many licenses have we taken. Given that, we look at the why. How is this relevant? What difference does it make how many licenses are, are being revoked? The department is doing their job, or the departments are doing their job, and I think from what we heard last week, some of this oversight simply is not necessary. I, when I started looking at this after the Witek amendment last week was defeated, which would have given us information that I think